arXiv:1505.02129v1 [math.GM] 6 May 2015

A NONSTANDARD APPROACH TO EQUIDISTRIBUTION

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

Abstract. Using nonstandard analysis, we generalise a classical result on equidistributions to integrable functions, and give an application of the Weil conjectures for algebraic curves, to equidistribution in characteristic zero.

Lemma 0.1. *Let* $\{x_n : n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ *be equidistributed on* $[0,1)$*, then, if* $f \in L^1([0,1))$*, we have that;*

$$\int_0^1 f d\mu = lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j)$$

Proof. We give a nonstandard proof of this result. Choose $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ infinite. By transfer, we can find an internal sequence $\{s_i : i \in ({}^*\mathcal{N} \cap [1,\eta])\} \subset {}^*[0,1)$, with $s_i = x_i$, for $i \in \mathcal{N}$. We adopt the notation $(\overline{S}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta)$ of Definition 0.4 in [4], and define $\delta_\eta : \mathcal{C}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{R}$ by setting;

$$\delta_\eta([\tfrac{j}{\eta}, \tfrac{j+1}{\eta})) = \tfrac{1}{\eta}{}^*Card(\{i \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \cap [1,\eta] : s_i \in [\tfrac{j}{\eta}, \tfrac{j+1}{\eta})\})$$

$$\delta_\eta(V) = {}^*\sum_{\frac{j}{\eta}\in V}\delta_\eta([\tfrac{j}{\eta}, \tfrac{j+1}{\eta}))\ (*)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq \eta - 1$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}_\eta$. It is easily verified that δ_η is finitely additive, hence $*$-finitely additive. Following [3], we let $(L(\overline{S}_\eta), L(\mathcal{C}_\eta), L(\delta_\eta))$ denote the associated Loeb space. We claim that the standard part mapping;

$$st : (L(\overline{S}_\eta), L(\mathcal{C}_\eta), L(\delta_\eta)) \rightarrow ([0,1], \mathcal{B}, \mu)$$

is measurable and measure preserving, $(**)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the completion of the Borel field on $[0,1]$ and μ is Lebesgue measure. Observe, for $\{a,b\} \subset ([0,1) \cap \mathcal{Q})$, using $(*)$ and the fact that $[a,b) = \bigcup_{a\eta\leq j<b\eta}[\frac{j}{\eta}, \frac{j+1}{\eta})$;

$$\delta_\eta({}^*[a,b)) = \tfrac{1}{\eta}{}^*Card\{1 \leq i \leq \eta : s_i \in [a,b)\}$$

The internal sequence $\{s^i_{a,b}\}_{1\leq i\leq \eta}$, defined by $s^i_{a,b}=\frac{1}{i}{}^*Card(\{k\in {}^*\mathcal{N}\cap[1,i]:s_k\in {}^*[a,b)\})$, has the property that $s^\eta_{a,b}\simeq b-a$, using Theorem 2.22(i) of [3]. Hence $L(\delta_\eta)({}^*[a,b))=b-a$. Now, let $\{c,d\}\subset([0,1)\cap\mathcal{R})$, and assume that $c\neq 0$, (1). Choose sequences $\{c_{l,n},c_{u,n},d_{l,n},d_{u,n}:n\in\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 1}\}\subset({}^*[0,1)\cap\mathcal{Q})$ such that $c_{u,n}<c<c_{l,n}<d_{l,n}<d<d_{u,n}$, $lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}c_{u,n}=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}c_{l,n}=c$ and $lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}d_{u,n}=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}d_{l,n}=d$. We have that $[c_{l,n},d_{l,n})\subset[\frac{[\eta c]}{\eta},\frac{[\eta d]}{\eta})\subset[c_{u,n},d_{u,n})$, for $n\in\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then, using elementary properties of measures, we have that;

$$L(\delta_\eta)([\tfrac{[\eta c]}{\eta},\tfrac{[\eta d]}{\eta}))=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}L(\delta_\eta)([c_{l,n},d_{l,n}))$$

$$=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}L(\delta_\eta)([c_{u,n},d_{u,n}))$$

$$=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}(d_{l,n}-c_{l,n})$$

$$=lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}(d_{u,n}-c_{u,n})=d-c$$

We can now follow Theorem 14 in [1], to obtain that $L(\delta_\eta)(st^{-1}([c,d)))=d-c$, and then $(**)$ is shown, using the same proof. For $g\in V(\overline{S}_\eta)$, and $A\in\mathcal{C}_\eta$, we let $\int_A gd\delta_\eta$ be as in Definition 3.9 of [3], and define S-integrability, as in Definition 3.17 of [3]. Then, we have, by Theorem 3.20 of [3], that, for g S-integrable;

$${}^\circ\int_{\overline{S}_\eta} gd\delta_\eta=\int_{\overline{S}_\eta}{}^\circ gdL(\delta_\eta),\ (***)$$

If $f\in L^1([0,1),\mathcal{B},\mu)$, using the result $(**)$, we must have that $st^*(f)\in L^1(L(\overline{S}_\eta),L(\mathcal{C}_\eta),L(\delta_\eta))$. We claim that there exists $g\in SL^1(\overline{S}_\eta)$, (2), with the property that $g(x_i)=f(x_i)$, for $1\leq i\leq\eta$ and ${}^\circ g=st^*(f)$ a.e $d(L(\delta_\eta))$, $(****)$. We follow the case by case proof of Theorem 3.31 in [3]. The case when $st^*(f)$ is bounded follows by choosing the initial sequence of $\mathcal{C}_\eta$-measurable functions $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}_{>0}}$ to have the property that $f_n(x_i)=f(x_i)$, for $1\leq i\leq n$. After extending the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}_{>0}}$ to an internal sequence $\{f_n\}_{1\leq n\leq\omega'}$, for some infinite ω', this property continues to hold by overflow, quantifying over the internal sequence $\{{}^*f(s_i)\}_{1\leq i\leq min(\omega',\eta)}$. Choosing $\omega\leq\omega'$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.13, we obtain that $f_\omega(x_i)=f(x_i)$, for $i\in\mathcal{N}$, $(*****)$. For the general case, we can follow the proof, requiring, using $(*****)$, and replacing $\overline{S}_\eta$ by A_n, that the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathcal{N}_{>0}}$, has the property that $f_n(x_i) = f(x_i)$, for any $s_i \in A_n$. Hence, $(****)$ is shown. Then, using $(**), (***), (****)$;

---

[1] The case $c=0$ can be dealt with, by observing that $[\eta 0]=0$, and taking $c_{u,n}=0$.

[2] Using the notation in [1] for S-integrable functions.

$$^\circ\left(\frac{1}{\eta}{}^{*}\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta} f(s_j)\right)$$

$$= {}^\circ\left(\frac{1}{\eta}{}^{*}\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta} g(s_j)\right)$$

$$= {}^\circ\int_{\overline{S}_\eta} g d\delta_\eta$$

$$= \int_{\overline{S}_\eta} {}^\circ g dL(\delta_\eta)$$

$$= \int_{\overline{S}_\eta} st^*(f) dL(\delta_\eta) = \int_0^1 f d\mu$$

The lemma then follows, this time using Theorem 2.22(ii) of [3].

□

Definition 0.2. *If $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ is infinite, we say that an internal sequence $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\eta} \subset {}^*[0,1)$ is equidistributed if it corresponds, by transfer, to a standard equidistributed sequence $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 1}} \subset [0,1)$. An internal sequence $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\eta}$ is weakly equidistributed if, for the associated measure $L(\delta_\eta)$, $L(\delta_\eta)(a,b) = b-a$, for $\{a,b\} \subset {}^*[0,1)$.*

Remarks 0.3. *Observe, from the proof of Lemma 0.1, that equidistributed implies weakly equidistributed, and, if $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\eta}$ is equidistributed or weakly equidistributed, then for any standard $f \in L^1([0,1))$, $(\frac{1}{\eta}{}^{*}\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta} f(s_j)) \simeq \int_0^1 f d\mu$.*

Lemma 0.4. *If $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ is infinite,an internal sequence $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\eta}$ is weakly equidistributed iff $\frac{1}{\eta}{}^{*}\sum_{1\leq i\leq\eta} exp_\eta(2\pi i k s_i) \simeq 0$, for finite $k \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\eta,\neq 0}$, (3).*

Proof. Suppose that $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\eta}$ is weakly equidistributed, then, using the proof of Lemma 0.1 and Remark 0.2, we have that, for finite $k \in \mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}$, as $exp_\eta(2\pi i k x)$ is S-integrable;

$$^\circ\left(\frac{1}{\eta}{}^{*}\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta} exp_\eta(2\pi i k s_j)\right)$$

$$= {}^\circ\int_{\overline{S}_\eta} exp_\eta(2\pi i k x) d\delta_\eta$$

---

[3] We adopt the notation of Definition 0.8 in [4], letting $exp_\eta(2\pi i k x)$ denote the $\mathcal{C}_\eta$-measurable counterpart of ${}^*exp(2\pi i k x)$ on ${}^*[0,1)$, and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\eta,\neq 0} = \{k \in {}^*\mathcal{Z} : -\eta \leq k \leq \eta - 1\}$.

$= \int_{\overline{S}_\eta} {}^\circ exp_\eta(2\pi ikx)dL(\delta_\eta)$

$= \int_{\overline{S}_\eta} st^*(exp(2\pi ikx))dL(\delta_\eta) = \int_0^1 exp(2\pi ikx)d\mu = 0$

Conversely, suppose that $\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} exp_\eta(2\pi iks_i) \simeq 0$, $(*)$, for finite $k \in \mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}$. Let $\{a,b\} \subset [0,1)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $f \in C^\infty([0,1])$, ([4]), such that $||f - \chi_{[a,b]}||_{C([0,1))} < \epsilon$, $(**)$. Suppose that $f = g + r$, where $r = \int_0^1 f d\mu$, so that $g \in C^\infty([0,1])$ and $\int_0^1 g d\mu = 0$. Using Lemma 0.9 of [4], we have that;

$g_\eta(x) = {}^* \sum_{k\in\mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}} \hat{g_\eta}(k) exp_\eta(2\pi ikx)$, ([5])

Hence, using $(*)$, the fact that $|\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} exp_\eta(2\pi iks_i)| \leq 1$, and $|\hat{g_\eta}(k)| \leq \frac{H}{k^2}$, $(***)$, for $k \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta$, where $H \in \mathcal{R}$, ([6]);

$\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} g_\eta(s_i) = \frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} {}^* \sum_{k\in\mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}} \hat{g_\eta}(k) exp_\eta(2\pi iks_i)$

$= {}^* \sum_{k\in\mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}} \hat{g_\eta}(k) \frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} exp_\eta(2\pi iks_i) \simeq 0$

Hence;

$\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} f_\eta(s_i) \simeq r$

and, using $(**)$;

$|\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} \chi_{[a,b),\eta}(s_i) - \frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} f_\eta(s_i)| \leq \frac{1}{\eta}\eta\epsilon = \epsilon$

Hence, as $|\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} \chi_{(a,b),\eta}(s_i) - r| < 2\epsilon$ and $|r - (b-a)| < \epsilon$, we have that;

$|\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} \chi_{(a,b),\eta}(s_i) - (b-a)| < 3\epsilon$

and, as ϵ was arbitrary;

$\frac{1}{\eta}{}^* \sum_{1\leq i\leq \eta} \chi_{(a,b),\eta}(s_i) \simeq (b-a)$

It follows that $\{s_i\}_{1\leq i\leq \eta}$ is weakly equidistributed.

---

[4] We let $C^\infty([0,1]) = \{f \in C[0,1] : \exists g \in C^\infty(S^1), ang^* g = f\}$, where $ang(\theta) = e^{2\pi i\theta}$, for $\theta \in [0,1]$.

[5] We adopt the notation, in Definition 0.8, for $\{g_\eta, \hat{g}_\eta, \overline{Z}_\eta\}$.

[6] For $(***)$, see Lemma 0.16 and Theorem 0.19 of [4].

□

Lemma 0.5. *Let* $p \in \mathcal{R}[x]$ *be a standard polynomial of degree* d, $p(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{d} a_l x^l$, *with* $0 \leq a_l < 1$, *then;*

$lim_{q\rightarrow\infty, q\ prime}\frac{[a_l q]}{q} = a_l$

and, if $0 \leq a < b < 1$ *and* $f \in L^1([0,1))$*;*

$lim_{q\rightarrow\infty, q\ prime}\frac{1}{q}|\{i : 1 \leq i \leq q, \sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_l q]}{q}i^l\ (mod\ 1) \in (a,b)\}| = (b-a)$

$\int_0^1 f d\mu = lim_{q\rightarrow\infty, q\ prime}\frac{1}{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q} f(\sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_l q]}{q}i^l\ (mod\ 1))$

Proof. The first claim follows easily from the fact that, for infinite $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ prime, $\frac{[a_l\eta]}{\eta} \simeq a_l$, and Theorem 2.2(i) of [4]. For q prime in ${}^*\mathcal{N}$, let $t_{l,q} = [q a_l]$. We have $a_l \simeq \frac{[\eta a_l]}{\eta}$, and, therefore, $0 \leq t_{l,\eta} < \eta$, for $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ infinite prime. It follows, using underflow, that $0 \leq t_{l,q} < q$, for sufficiently large $q \in \mathcal{N}$ prime, $q \geq N(p)$, $(*)$. For $q \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ prime, let $p_q = \sum_{l=0}^{d} t_{l,q}x^l$. We now claim that, for infinite $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ prime, the sequence $\{\frac{{}^*p_\eta}{\eta}(j)\}_{1\leq j\leq\eta}$ is weakly equidistributed, $(**)$. By Lemma 0.4, it is sufficient to show that there exists an infinite $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$, with $\frac{1}{\eta}{}^*\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta} exp_\eta(2\pi i k\frac{p_\eta}{\eta}(j)) \simeq 0$, for $k \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta$, k finite, $(***)$.

Let $F_q \cong \mathcal{Z}/q\mathcal{Z}$ denote a finite field with q elements. Using Lemma 0.5 of [2], we have that, for $q \geq N(p)$, $(q,d) = 1$, and for $0 < k \leq \eta - 1$;

$|\sum_{0\leq j\leq q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{k}{q}p_q(j)}| \leq (d-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$

If $\eta \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ is prime, then $(\eta, d) = 1$, $\eta \geq N(p)$, and, by transfer, for $0 < k \leq \eta - 1$;

$\frac{1}{\eta}|\sum_{0\leq j\leq\eta-1}{}^*exp(2\pi i\frac{k}{\eta}{}^*p_\eta(j))| \leq \frac{(d-1)}{\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\eta} \simeq 0$

The characters $\{e^{2\pi i\frac{k}{q}} : -(q-1) \leq k \leq -1\}$ are just a re-enumeration of the characters $\{e^{2\pi i\frac{k}{q}} : 1 \leq k \leq q-1\}$ on F_q for q prime, and, therefore, by the same argument;

$\frac{1}{\eta}|\sum_{0\leq j\leq\eta-1}{}^*exp(2\pi i\frac{k}{\eta}{}^*p_\eta(j))| \simeq 0$, for $k \in \mathcal{Z}_\eta \setminus \{-\eta, 0\}$

As $exp(2\pi ikx)$ is continuous on $[0,1)$, for $k\in\mathcal{Z}$, and;

$max(\frac{1}{\eta}exp_\eta(2\pi i\frac{k}{\eta}{}^*p_\eta(0)),\frac{1}{\eta}(exp_\eta 2\pi i\frac{k}{\eta}{}^*p_\eta(\eta)))\simeq 0$

we have that;

$\frac{1}{\eta}|^*\sum_{1\leq j\leq\eta}exp_\eta(2\pi i\frac{k}{\eta}{}^*p_\eta(j))|\simeq 0$, for finite $k\in\mathcal{Z}_{\eta,\neq 0}$

It follows that $(**),(***)$ hold. We have that, for any given $\epsilon>0$ standard, $\eta\in{}^*\mathcal{N}$ infinite prime, that;

$(b-a)-\epsilon<\frac{1}{\eta}|\{i:1\leq i\leq\eta,\sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_l\eta]}{\eta}i^l\ (mod\ 1)\in(a,b)\}|<(b-a)+\epsilon$

By underflow, there exists a standard $N(\epsilon,p)$ prime, such that, for all standard primes $q\geq N(\epsilon,p)$;

$(b-a)-\epsilon<\frac{1}{q}|\{i:1\leq i\leq q,\sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_lq]}{q}i^l\ (mod\ 1)\in(a,b)\}|<(b-a)+\epsilon$

hence, the second claim is shown. Using Remarks 0.3, for any given $f\in L^1([0,1))$, standard $\epsilon>0$, $\eta\in{}^*\mathcal{N}$ infinite prime;

$\int_0^1 fd\mu-\epsilon<\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_{i=1}^{\eta}f(\sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_l\eta]}{\eta}i^l\ (mod\ 1))<\int_0^1 fd\mu+\epsilon$

Again, by underflow, there exists a standard $M(\epsilon,p,f)$ prime, such that, for all standard primes $q\geq M(\epsilon,p,f)$;

$\int_0^1 fd\mu-\epsilon<\frac{1}{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q}f(\sum_{l=0}^{d}\frac{[a_lq]}{q}i^l\ (mod\ 1))<\int_0^1 fd\mu+\epsilon$

Hence, the final claim is shown.

□

Definition 0.6. *If $p\in\mathcal{R}[x]$, and p_q, q prime, are as in Lemma 0.5, we define the associated measure $\mu_{p,q}=\frac{1}{q}(\delta_{p_q(1)}+\ldots+\delta_{p_q(i)}+\ldots+\delta_{p_q(q)})$, where $\{\delta_{p_q(i)}:1\leq i\leq q\}$ are point measures supported at $\{p_q(i):1\leq i\leq q\}$.*

Lemma 0.7. *If $p\in\mathcal{R}[x]$, then the sequence $\{\mu_{p,q}:q\in\mathcal{N},\ q\ prime\}$ converges weakly to Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the last part of Lemma 0.5. □

References

[1] A Non-Standard Representation for Brownian Motion and Ito Integration, Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 25, (1976)

[2] A Note on the Weil Conjectures for Curves, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvalinea.net, (2015).

[3] Applications of Nonstandard Analysis to Probability Theory, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvalinea.net, (2013). (MOVE TO dep1)

[4] A Simple Proof of the Uniform Convergence of Fourier Series using Nonstandard Analysis, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvalinea.net, (2013).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, HARRISON BUILDING, STREATHAM CAMPUS, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, NORTH PARK ROAD, EXETER, DEVON, EX4 4QF, UNITED KINGDOM